arXiv:gr-qc/0601067v1 17 Jan 2006

Bogoliubov's Integrals of Motion in Quantum Cosmology and Gravity

V.N. Pervushin, and V.A. Zinchuk,
Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

7th February 2008

Abstract

Quantum Cosmology and Gravity are formulated here as the primary and secondary quantizations of the energy constraints by analogy with the historical formulation of quantum field theory. New fact is that both the Universe and its matter are created from stable vacuum obtained by the Bogoliubov-type transformation just as it is in the theory of quantum superfluid liquid. Such the Quantum Gravity gives us possibility to explain topical problems of cosmology by the cosmological creation of universes and particles from vacuum.

Proceedings of the II International Conference on Superintegrable Systems in Classical and Quantum Mechanics, Dubna, Russia, June 27 - July 1, 2005, will be published in *Yadernaya Fizika* (2006).

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Quantum Cosmology **2**
- 2.1 Foundations of General Relativity . . . 2
- 2.2 Cosmology on the pathway to QFT . . . 2
- 2.3 Hamiltonian reduction . . . 3
- 2.4 Quantum Field Theory of universes . . . 4
- 2.5 Bogoliubov transformation and creation of universes . . . 5
- 2.6 Quantum anomaly of conformal time . . . 6

3 General Relativity **6**
- 3.1 The Dirac – ADM frame . . . 7
- 3.2 Zel'manov's class of functions of diffeomorphisms . . . 7
- 3.3 Separation of Scale Factor . . . 7
- 3.4 Hamiltonian Reduction . . . 8
- 3.5 The low-energy decomposition of "reduced" action . . . 9
- 3.6 The Quantum Universe . . . 10

4 Conclusion **10**

1 Introduction

Quantization of General Relativity (GR) [1, 2] and its cosmological approximation is one of topical problems of modern physics. Wheeler and DeWitt [3] proposed to formulate the quantum cosmology and gravity by analogy with the primary quantization of the energy constraint in Special Relativity (SR) [4] introducing the field space of events in GR, where the role of time-like variable is played by the spatial metric determinant converting into the cosmological scale factor in the homogeneous approximation.

In this case the main problem is resolving the Wheeler – DeWitt (WDW) equation. In the present paper, in order to solve this WDW equation and find its integrals of motion, we propose to use the holomorphic variables and their Bogoliubov transformations [5, 6].

In Section 2, the statement of problem and the direct way from Einstein – Hilbert's geometric formulation of classical cosmology to the quantum one are considered. Section 3 is devoted to a similar quantization of Einstein – Hilbert's GR in the spirit of the microscopic theory of superfluidity [5, 7, 8].

2 Quantum Cosmology

2.1 Foundations of General Relativity

Einstein's GR [1] is based on the dynamic action proposed by Hilbert [2]

$$S_{GR} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-\frac{\varphi_0^2}{6}\;R(g) + \mathcal{L}_{\rm matter}\right], \qquad \varphi_0^2 = \frac{3}{8\pi}M^2_{Planck}, \tag{1}$$

where M^2_{Planck} is the inverse coupling Newton constant in units $\hbar = c = 1$. This action is given in a Riemannian space-time manifold with *geometric interval*

$$ds = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu. \tag{2}$$

Both the action and interval are invariant with respect to general coordinate transformations

$$x^\mu \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \tilde{x}^\mu = \tilde{x}^\mu(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3). \tag{3}$$

2.2 Cosmology on the pathway to QFT

In order to demonstrate to a reader the direct pathway from Einstein – Hilbert's "Foundation of Physics" [1, 2] to QFT of universes, we consider GR in the homogeneous approximation of the interval

$$ds^2 \simeq ds^2_{\rm WDW} = a^2(x^0)[(N_0(x^0)dx^0)^2 - (dx^i dx^i)].$$

where Hilbert's action (1) and interval (2) take the form

$$S_{\rm cosmic} = V_0\int dx^0 N_0\left[-\left(\frac{d\varphi}{N_0 dx^0}\right)^2 - \rho_0(\varphi)\right], \tag{4}$$

$$d\eta = N_0(x^0)dx^0 \quad\longrightarrow\quad \eta = \int\limits_0^{x^0} d\overline{x}^0 N_0(\overline{x}^0), \tag{5}$$

here $a(x^0)$ is the cosmological scale factor,

$$\varphi(x^0) = \varphi_0 a(x^0) \tag{6}$$

is the running scale of all masses, $\rho_0(\varphi)$ is the energy density of the matter in a universe, V_0 is a spatial volume, and N_0 is the lapse function. This homogeneous approximation keeps the symmetry of the action and the interval with respect to reparametrizations of the coordinate evolution parameter $x^0 \rightarrow \overline{x}^0 = \overline{x}^0(x^0)$. Recall that similar diffeomorphisms in the case of SR leads to energy constraint determining observable quantities of the type of energy, time-like variable and number of particles in quantum theory [4, 9].

In the WDW cosmology this gauge symmetry means that the scale factor φ is the *time-like variable* in the *field space of events* introduced by Wheeler and DeWitt in [3], and the canonical momentum P_φ is the corresponding Hamiltonian that becomes the energy E_φ in equations of motion.

The direct historical pathway from SR to QFT of particles [4] shows us a similar direct pathway from Hilbert's "Foundation of Cosmology" (4), (5) to "QFT" of universes. Wheeler and DeWitt [3] included in this pathway

1) the Hamiltonian approach:

$$S_{\text{cosmic}} = \int dx^0 \left[-P_\varphi \partial_0 \varphi - \frac{N_0}{4V_0} \left(-P_\varphi^2 + E_\varphi^2 \right) \right], \tag{7}$$

where

$$E_\varphi = 2V_0 \sqrt{\rho_0(\varphi)} \tag{8}$$

is treated as the *"energy of a universe"*,

2) constraining: $P_\varphi^2 - E_\varphi^2 = 0$,

3) primary quantization: $[\hat{P}_\varphi^2 - E_\varphi^2]\Psi = 0$, here $\hat{P}_\varphi = -id/d\varphi$.

We proposed here to include also the transition to holomorphic variables [6] used in

4) secondary quantization: $\Psi = ([A^+ + A^-]/\sqrt{2E_\varphi})$,

5) Bogoliubov's transformation: $A^+ = \alpha B^+ + \beta^* B^-$,

6) postulate of Bogoliubov's vacuum : $B^-|0>_U = 0$, and

7) cosmological creation of the *universes* from the Bogoliubov vacuum.

Let us carry out this programme in detail.

2.3 Hamiltonian reduction

In the cosmological model (7), there are two independent equations: the one of the lapse function $\delta S_{\text{cosmic}}/\delta N_0 = 0$:

$$P_\varphi^2 = E_\varphi^2, \tag{9}$$

treated as the energy constraint, and the equation of momentum $\delta S_{\text{cosmic}}/\delta P_\varphi = 0$

$$P_\varphi = 2V_0 \varphi', \tag{10}$$

where $\varphi' = \frac{d\varphi}{d\eta}$. The constraint (9) has two solutions

$$P_\varphi^\pm = \pm E_\varphi = \pm 2V_0 \sqrt{\rho(\varphi)}, \tag{11}$$

where E_φ, given by Eq. (8), is identified with the "one-universe energy". The substitution of these solutions into action (7) and interval (5) gives us their values

$$S_{\text{cosmic}|P_\varphi = P_\varphi^\pm} = S_{\text{cosmic}}^\pm = \mp 2V_0 \int\limits_{\varphi}^{\varphi_0} d\widetilde{\varphi} \sqrt{\rho_0(\widetilde{\varphi})}, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\eta(\varphi|\varphi_0) = 2V_0 \int\limits_{\varphi}^{\varphi_0} \frac{d\widetilde{\varphi}}{P^{\pm}_{\varphi}} = \pm \int\limits_{\varphi}^{\varphi_0} \frac{d\widetilde{\varphi}}{\sqrt{\rho_0(\widetilde{\varphi})}} \equiv \pm(\eta_0 - r), \tag{13}$$

respectively. We called these values the *Hamiltonian reduction* of the geometric system (7), (5). Eq. (13) is treated, in the observational cosmology [10, 11], as the conformal version of the Hubble law. This law describes the relation between the redshift $z + 1 = \varphi_0/\varphi(\eta)$ of spectral lines of photons (emitted by atoms on a cosmic object at the conformal time $\eta(\varphi|\varphi_0) = \eta$) and the coordinate distance $r = \eta_0 - \eta$ of this object, where η_0 is the present-day moment. Thus, we see that WDW cosmology coincides with the Friedmann one $\varphi'^2 = \rho_0(\varphi)$. Our task is to consider the status of this Friedmann cosmology in QFT of universes obtained by the first and the secondary quantization of the constraint (9).

2.4 Quantum Field Theory of universes

After the primary quantization of the cosmological scale factor φ: $i[P_\varphi, \varphi] = 1$ the energy constraint (9) transforms to the WDW equation

$$\partial^2_\varphi \Psi + E^2_\varphi \Psi = 0. \tag{14}$$

This equation can be obtained in the corresponding classical WDW field theory for universes of the type of the Klein – Gordon one:

$$S_{\rm U} = \int d\varphi \frac{1}{2}\left[(\partial_\varphi \Psi)^2 - E^2_\varphi \Psi^2\right] \equiv \int d\varphi L_{\rm U}. \tag{15}$$

Introducing the canonical momentum $P_\Psi = \partial L_{\rm U}/\partial(\partial_\varphi \Psi)$, one can obtain the Hamiltonian form of this theory

$$S_{\rm U} = \int d\varphi \left\{P_\Psi \partial_\varphi \Psi - H_{\rm U}\right\}, \tag{16}$$

where

$$H_{\rm U} = \frac{1}{2}\left[P^2_\Psi + E^2_\varphi \Psi^2\right]. \tag{17}$$

is the Hamiltonian. The concept of the one-universe “energy” E_φ gives us the opportunity to present this Hamiltonian $H_{\rm U}$ in the standard forms of the product of this “energy” E_φ and the “number” of universes

$$N_U = A^+ A^-, \tag{18}$$

$$H_{\rm U} = E_\varphi \frac{1}{2}\left[A^+A^- + A^-A^+\right] = E_\varphi[N_U + \frac{1}{2}] \tag{19}$$

by means of the transition to the holomorphic variables

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_\varphi}}\{A^+ + A^-\}, \qquad P_\Psi = i\sqrt{\frac{E_\varphi}{2}}\{A^+ - A^-\}. \tag{20}$$

The dependence of E_φ on φ leads to the additional term in the action expressed in terms the holomorphic variables

$$P_\Psi \partial_\varphi \Psi = \left[\frac{i}{2}(A^+\partial_\varphi A^- - A^+\partial_\varphi A^-) - \frac{i}{2}(A^+A^+ - A^-A^-)\triangle(\varphi)\right], \tag{21}$$

where

$$\triangle(\varphi) = \frac{\partial_\varphi E_\varphi}{2E_\varphi}. \tag{22}$$

The last term in (21) is responsible for the cosmological creation of “universes” from “vacuum”.

2.5 Bogoliubov transformation and creation of universes

In order to define stationary physical states, including a "vacuum", and a set of integrals of motion, one usually uses the Bogoliubov transformations [5, 6] of the holomorphic variables of universes (A^+, A^-):

$$A^+ = \alpha B^+ + \beta^* B^-, \qquad A^- = \alpha^* B^- + \beta A^+ \qquad (|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1), \tag{23}$$

so that the classical equations of the field theory in terms of universes

$$(i\partial_\varphi + E_\varphi)A^+ = iA^-\triangle(\varphi), \qquad (i\partial_\varphi - E_\varphi)A^- = iA^+\triangle(\varphi), \tag{24}$$

take a diagonal form in terms of *quasiuniverses* B^+, B^-:

$$(i\partial_\varphi + E_B(\varphi))B^+ = 0, \qquad (i\partial_\varphi - E_B(\varphi))B^- = 0. \tag{25}$$

The diagonal form is possible, if the Bogoliubov coefficients α, β in Eqs. (23) satisfy to equations

$$(i\partial_\varphi + E_\varphi)\alpha = i\beta\triangle(\varphi), \qquad (i\partial_\varphi - E_\varphi)\beta^* = i\alpha^*\triangle(\varphi). \tag{26}$$

For the parametrization

$$\alpha = e^{i\theta(\varphi)}\cosh r(\varphi), \qquad \beta^* = e^{i\theta(\varphi)}\sinh r(\varphi), \tag{27}$$

where $r(\varphi), \theta(\varphi)$ are the parameters of "squeezing" and "rotation", respectively, Eqs. (26) become

$$(i\partial_\varphi\theta - E_\varphi)\sinh 2r(\varphi) = -\triangle(\varphi)\cosh 2r(\varphi)\sin 2\theta(\varphi), \qquad \partial_\varphi r(\varphi) = \triangle(\varphi)\cos 2\theta(\varphi), \tag{28}$$

while "energy" of *quasiuniverses* in Eqs. (25) is defined by expression

$$E_B(\varphi) = \frac{E_\varphi - \partial_\varphi\theta(\varphi)}{\cosh 2r(\varphi)}. \tag{29}$$

Due to Eqs. (25) the "number" of *quasiuniverses* $\mathcal{N}_B = (B^+B^-)$ is conserved

$$\frac{d\mathcal{N}_B}{d\varphi} \equiv \frac{d(B^+B^-)}{d\varphi} = 0. \tag{30}$$

Therefore, we can introduce the "vacuum" as a state without *quasiuniverses*:

$$B^-|0>_{\rm U} = 0. \tag{31}$$

A number of created *universes* from this Bogoliubov vacuum is equal to the expectation value of the operator of the *number of universes* (18) over the Bogoliubov vacuum

$$N_{\rm U}(\varphi) = {}_{\rm U}< A^+A^- >_{\rm U} \equiv |\beta|^2 = \sinh^2 r(\varphi), \tag{32}$$

where β is the coefficient in the Bogoliubov transformation (23), and $N_{\rm U}(\varphi)$ is called the "distribution function". Introducing the Bogoliubov "condensate"

$$R_{\rm U}(\varphi) = i(\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta) \equiv {}_{\rm U}< P_\Psi\Psi >_{\rm U} = \frac{i}{2}\ {}_{\rm U}< [A^+A^+ - A^-A^-] >_{\rm U}, \tag{33}$$

one can rewrite the Bogoliubov equations of the diagonalization (26)

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dN_{\rm U}}{d\varphi} = \triangle(\varphi)\sqrt{4N_{\rm U}(N_{\rm U}+1) - R^2_{\rm U}}, \\ \dfrac{dR_{\rm U}}{d\varphi} = -2E_\varphi\sqrt{4N_{\rm U}(N_{\rm U}+1) - R^2_{\rm U}}. \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

It is natural to propose that at the moment of creation of the universe $\varphi(\eta = 0) = \varphi_I$ both these functions are equal to zero $N_{\rm U}(\varphi = \varphi_I) = R_{\rm U}(\varphi = \varphi_I) = 0$. This moment of the conformal time (13) $\eta = 0$ is distinguished by the vacuum postulate (31) as the beginning of a universe.

2.6 Quantum anomaly of conformal time

As it was shown in the case of a particle in QFT [4], the postulate of a vacuum as a state with minimal "energy" restricts the motion of a "universe" in the space of events, so that a "universe" with $P_{\varphi+}$ moves forward and with $P_{\varphi-}$ backward.

$$P_{\varphi+} \quad \rightarrow \quad \varphi_I \leq \varphi_0; \qquad\qquad P_{\varphi-} \quad \rightarrow \quad \varphi_I \geq \varphi_0. \tag{35}$$

If we substitute this restriction into the interval (13)

$$\eta_{(P_{vh+})} = \int\limits_{\varphi_I}^{\varphi_0} \frac{d\varphi}{\sqrt{\rho_0(\varphi)}}; \qquad\qquad \varphi_I \leq \varphi_0, \tag{36}$$

$$\eta_{(P_{\varphi-})} = \int\limits_{\varphi_0}^{\varphi_I} \frac{d\varphi}{\sqrt{\rho_0(\varphi)}}; \qquad\qquad \varphi_I \geq \varphi_0, \tag{37}$$

one can see that the geometric interval in both cases is positive. In other words, the stability of quantum theory as the vacuum postulate leads to the absolute point of reference of this interval $\eta = 0$ and its positive arrow. In QFT the initial datum φ_I is considered as a point of creation or annihilation of universe. One can propose that the singular point $\varphi = 0$ belongs to antiuniverse. In this case, a universe with a positive energy goes out of the singular point $\varphi = 0$.

In the model of rigid state $\rho = p$, where $E_\varphi = Q/\varphi$ Eqs. (34) have an exact solution

$$N_{\mathrm{U}} = \frac{1}{4Q^2 - 1} \sin^2 \left[\sqrt{Q^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \ \ln \frac{\varphi}{\varphi_I} \right] \neq 0, \tag{38}$$

where

$$\varphi = \varphi_I \sqrt{1 + 2H_I \eta} \tag{39}$$

and $\varphi_I, H_I = \varphi_I'/\varphi_I = Q/(2V_0\varphi_I^2)$ are the initial data.

We see that there are results of the type of the arrow of time and absence of the cosmological singularity (36), which can be understood only on the level of quantum theory, where symmetry $\eta \ \rightarrow \ -\eta$ is broken [12, 13].

3 General Relativity

General Relativity (GR) [1, 2] is given by two fundamental quantities: the *"dynamic"* action (1) and *"geometric interval"* (2)

$$g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \equiv \omega_{(\alpha)}\omega_{(\alpha)} = \omega_{(0)}\omega_{(0)} - \omega_{(1)}\omega_{(1)} - \omega_{(2)}\omega_{(2)} - \omega_{(3)}\omega_{(3)}, \tag{40}$$

where are $\omega_{(\alpha)}$ linear differential forms introduced by Fock [14] as components of an orthogonal simplex of reference.

Hilbert's *"Foundation of Physics"* in terms of Fock's simplex contains two principles of relativity: the *"geometric"* – general coordinate transformations

$$x^\mu \rightarrow \tilde{x}^\mu = \tilde{x}^\mu(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3), \qquad\qquad \omega_{(\alpha)}(x^\mu) \ \rightarrow \ \omega_{(\alpha)}(\tilde{x}^\mu) = \omega_{(\alpha)}(x^\mu) \tag{41}$$

and the *"dynamic"* principle formulated as the Lorentz transformations of an orthogonal simplex of reference

$$\omega_{(\alpha)} \ \rightarrow \ \overline{\omega}_{(\alpha)} = L_{(\alpha)(\beta)}\omega_{(\beta)}. \tag{42}$$

The latter are considered as transformations of a frame of reference.

Fock's separation of the frame transformations (42) from the gauge ones (41) [14] allows us to consider GR and SR on equal footing. A direct pathway from Hilbert's geometric formulation of GR to Quantum Gravity passed by contemporary QFT through Dirac's Hamiltonian reduction and Bogoliubov transformations [5, 6]:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{cccc}
\boxed{\text{GR-1915}} & \boxed{\text{SR-1915}} & & \\
\Downarrow & \Downarrow & \Leftarrow & \boxed{\text{reduction}} \\
\boxed{\text{GR-1905}} & \boxed{\text{SR-1905}} & & \\
\Downarrow & \Downarrow & \Leftarrow & \boxed{\text{quantization}} \\
\boxed{\text{QFT of universes}} & \boxed{\text{QFT of particles}} & &
\end{array}}$$

was reconstructed in [17].

3.1 The Dirac – ADM frame

The Hamiltonian approach to GR is formulated in the frame of reference given by Fock's simplex of reference in terms of the Dirac variables [15]

$$\omega_{(0)} = \psi^6 N_{\rm d} dx^0, \qquad \omega_{(b)} = \psi^2 \mathbf{e}_{(b)i}(dx^i + N^i dx^0); \tag{43}$$

here triads $\mathbf{e_{(a)i}}$ form the spatial metrics with $\det|\mathbf{e}| = 1$.

3.2 Zel'manov's class of functions of diffeomorphisms

Zel'manov established in [16] that a frame of reference determined by forms (43) is invariant with respect to transformations

$$x^0 \to \tilde{x}^0 = \tilde{x}^0(x^0); \qquad x_i \to \tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x}_i(x^0, x_1, x_2, x_3)\ , \tag{44}$$

$$\tilde{N}_d = N_d \frac{dx^0}{d\tilde{x}^0}; \qquad \tilde{N}^k = N^i \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^k}{\partial x_i}\frac{dx^0}{d\tilde{x}^0} - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^k}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^0}\ . \tag{45}$$

This group of transformations conserves a family of hypersurfaces $x^0 = \text{const.}$, and it calls the *"kinemetric"* subgroup of the group of general coordinate transformations. The *"kinemetric"* subgroup contains reparametrizations of the coordinate evolution parameter.

The reparametrization of the coordinate evolution parameter (x^0) means that this specific frame of reference (43) should be redefined by pointing out two *Dirac observables*: *time-like variable* in the *field space of events* and the "time" as a *geometric interval* [6].

3.3 Separation of Scale Factor

The cosmological evolution is the irrefutable observational argument in favor of existence of such a homogeneous variable considered in GR as the cosmological scale factor. The cosmological scale factor $a(x_0)$ introduced by the scale transformation: $g_{\mu\nu} = a^2(x_0)\widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, where $\widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is defined by

(43), where $\widetilde{N}_d = a^2 N_d$ and $\widetilde{\psi}^2 = a^{-1}\psi^2$. In order to keep the number of variables of GR, the scale factor can be defined using the spatial averaging $\log\sqrt{a} \equiv \langle \log\psi\rangle \equiv V_0^{-1}\int d^3x \log\psi$, so that the rest scalar component $\widetilde{\psi}$ satisfies the identity [17]

$$\langle \log\widetilde{\psi}\rangle \equiv V_0^{-1}\int d^3x \log\widetilde{\psi} = V_0^{-1}\int d^3x \left[\log\psi - \langle\log\psi\rangle\right] \equiv 0, \tag{46}$$

where $V_0 = \int d^3x$ is finite volume. The similar scale transformation of a curvature $\sqrt{-g}R(g) = a^2\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}R(\widetilde{g}) - 6a\partial_0\left[\partial_0 a\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}\,\widetilde{g}^{00}\right]$ converts action (1) into

$$S = \widetilde{S} - \underset{V_0}{\int} dx^0(\partial_0\varphi)^2\int d^3x\widetilde{N}_d^{-1}, \tag{47}$$

where $\widetilde{S}$ is the action (1) in terms of metrics $\widetilde{g}$ and the running scale of all masses $\varphi(x^0) = \varphi_0 a(x^0)$ and $(\widetilde{N}_d)^{-1} = \sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}\,\widetilde{g}^{00}$. One can construct the Hamiltonian function using the definition of a set of canonical momenta:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\varphi &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_0\varphi)} = -2V_0\partial_0\varphi\left\langle(\widetilde{N}_d)^{-1}\right\rangle = -2V_0\frac{d\varphi}{d\zeta} \equiv -2V_0\varphi', & (48)\\ p_\psi &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\log\widetilde{\psi})} \equiv -\frac{4\varphi^2}{3}\cdot\frac{\partial_l(\widetilde{\psi}^6 N^l) - \partial_0(\widetilde{\psi}^6)}{\widetilde{\psi}^6\widetilde{N}_d}, & (49)\end{aligned}$$

where $d\zeta = \langle(\widetilde{N}_d)^{-1}\rangle^{-1}dx^0$ is a time-interval invariant with respect to time-coordinate transformations $x^0 \to \widetilde{x}^0 = \widetilde{x}^0(x^0)$. The Hamiltonian form of the action in terms of momenta P_φ and $P_F = [p_\psi, p^i_{(b)}, p_f]$ including (48), (49) takes the form

$$S = \int dx^0\left[\int d^3x\left(\sum_F P_F\partial_0 F + C - \widetilde{N}_d\widetilde{T}_0^0\right) - P_\varphi\partial_0\varphi + \frac{P_\varphi^2}{4\int dx^3(\widetilde{N}_d)^{-1}}\right], \tag{50}$$

where $\mathcal{C} = N^i T_i^0 + C_0 p_\psi + C_{(b)}\partial_k\mathbf{e}^k_{(b)}$ is the sum of constraints with the Lagrangian multipliers $N^i, C_0,\ C_{(b)}$ and the energy–momentum tensor components T_i^0; these constraints include the transversality $\partial_i\mathbf{e}^i_{(b)} \simeq 0$ and the Dirac minimal surface [15]:

$$p_\psi \simeq 0 \qquad\Rightarrow\qquad \partial_j(\widetilde{\psi}^6\mathcal{N}^j) = (\widetilde{\psi}^6)' \qquad (\mathcal{N}^j = N^j\langle\widetilde{N}_d^{-1}\rangle). \tag{51}$$

The explicit dependence of $\widetilde{T}_0^0$ on $\overline{\psi}$ can be given in terms of the scale-invariant Lichnerowicz variables [18] in the space-time defined by the Fock simplex $\omega^{(L)}_{(\mu)} = \psi^{-2}\omega_{(\mu)}$:

$$\widetilde{T}_0^0 = \widetilde{\psi}^7\hat{\triangle}\widetilde{\psi} + \sum_I\widetilde{\psi}^I a^{I/2-2}\tau_I, \tag{52}$$

where $\hat{\triangle}F \equiv (4\varphi^2/3)\partial_{(b)}\partial_{(b)}F$ is the Laplace operator and τ_I is partial energy density marked by the index I running a set of values $I = 0$ (stiff), 4 (radiation), 6 (mass), 8 (curvature), 12 (Λ-term) in accordance with a type of matter field contributions, and a is the scale factor.

3.4 Hamiltonian Reduction

The energy constraint $\delta S[\varphi_0]/\delta\widetilde{N}_d = 0$ takes the algebraic form

$$-\frac{\delta\widetilde{S}[\varphi]}{\delta\widetilde{N}_d} \equiv \widetilde{T}_0^0 = \frac{(\partial_0\varphi)^2}{\widetilde{N}_d^2} = \frac{P_\varphi^2}{4V_0^2[\langle(\widetilde{N}_d)^{-1}\rangle\widetilde{N}_d]^2}, \tag{53}$$

where T^0_0 is the local energy density by definition. The spatial averaging of this equation multiplied by $\widetilde{N}_d$ looks like the energy constraint

$$P^2_\varphi = E^2_\varphi, \tag{54}$$

where the Hamiltonian functional $E_\varphi = 2\int d^3x(\widetilde{T}^0_0)^{1/2} = 2V_0\langle(\widetilde{T}^0_0)^{1/2}\rangle$ can be treated as the "universe energy" by analogy with the "particle energy" in special relativity (SR). Eqs. (48) and (54) have the exact solution

$$\zeta(\varphi_0|\varphi) \equiv \int dx^0 \Big\langle (\widetilde{N}_d)^{-1}\Big\rangle^{-1} = \pm \int_{\varphi}^{\varphi_0} d\widetilde{\varphi}\langle(\widetilde{T}^0_0(\widetilde{\varphi}))^{1/2}\rangle^{-1} \tag{55}$$

well known as the Hubble-type evolution. The local part of Eq. (53) determines a part of the Dirac lapse function invariant with respect to diffeomorphisms of the Hamiltonian formulation $x^0 \to \widetilde{x}^0 = \widetilde{x}^0(x^0)$ [16]:

$$N_{\rm inv} = \langle(\widetilde{N}_d)^{-1}\rangle\widetilde{N}_d = \left\langle\sqrt{\widetilde{T}^0_0}\right\rangle\left(\sqrt{\widetilde{T}^0_0}\right)^{-1}. \tag{56}$$

One can find evolution of all field variables $F(\varphi, x^i)$ with respect to φ by the variation of the "reduced" action obtained as values of the Hamiltonian form of the initial action (50) onto the energy constraint (54) [17]:

$$S|_{P_\varphi=\pm E_\varphi} = \int\limits_{\varphi}^{\varphi_0} d\widetilde{\varphi}\left\{\int d^3x\left[\sum_F P_F\partial_\varphi F + \bar{\mathcal{C}} \mp 2\sqrt{\widetilde{T}^0_0(\widetilde{\varphi})}\right]\right\}, \tag{57}$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}/\partial_0\widetilde{\varphi}$. The reduced Hamiltonian $\sqrt{\widetilde{T}^0_0}$ is Hermitian, if the minimal surface constraint (51) removes a negative contribution of p_ψ from the energy density. The reduced action (57) shows us that the initial data at the beginning $\varphi = \varphi_I$ are independent of the present-day ones at $\varphi = \varphi_0$, therefore the proposal about an existence of the Planck epoch $\varphi = \varphi_0$ at the beginning [19] looks very doubtful. Let us consider consequences of the classical reduced theory (57) and quantization of the energy constraint (54) without the "Planck epoch" at the beginning.

3.5 The low-energy decomposition of "reduced" action

We have seen above that in the "reduced" action (57) momenta $P_{\varphi\pm} = \pm E_\varphi$ become the generators of evolution of all variables with respect to the evolution parameter φ forward and backward, respectively.

Let us consider the positive branch and assume that the local density $T^0_0 = \rho_{(0)}(\varphi) + T_{\rm f}$ contains a tremendous cosmological background $\rho_{(0)}(\varphi)$. The low-energy decomposition of "reduced" action (57) $2d\varphi\sqrt{\widetilde{T}^0_0} = 2d\varphi\sqrt{\rho_{(0)} + T_{\rm f}} = d\varphi\left[2\sqrt{\rho_{(0)}} + T_{\rm f}/\sqrt{\rho_{(0)}}\right] + ...$ over field density $T_{\rm f}$ gives the sum $S|_{P_\varphi=+E_\varphi} = S^{(+)}_{\rm cosmic} + S^{(+)}_{\rm field} + \ldots$, where the first term of this sum $S^{(+)}_{\rm cosmic} = +2V_0\int\limits_{\varphi_I}^{\varphi_0} d\varphi\sqrt{\rho_{(0)}(\varphi)}$ is the reduced cosmological action, whereas the second one is the standard field action of GR and SM

$$S^{(+)}_{\rm field} = \int\limits_{\zeta_I}^{\zeta_0} d\zeta\int d^3x\left[\sum_F P_F\partial_\eta F + \bar{\mathcal{C}} - T_{\rm f}\right] \tag{58}$$

in the space determined by the interval

$$ds^2 = d\zeta^2 - \sum_a [e_{(a)i}(dx^i + \mathcal{N}^i d\zeta)]^2; \quad \partial_i e^i_{(a)} = 0, \quad \partial_i \mathcal{N}^i = 0 \tag{59}$$

with conformal time $d\eta = d\zeta = d\varphi/\rho^{1/2}_{(0)}$ as the diffeo-invariant and scale-invariant quantity, coordinate distance $r = |x|$, and running masses $m(\zeta) = a(\zeta)m_0$. This expansion shows us that the Hamiltonian approach identifies the "conformal quantities" with the observable ones including the conformal time $d\eta$, instead of $dt = a(\eta)d\eta$, the coordinate distance r, instead of Friedmann one $R = a(\eta)r$, and the conformal temperature $T_c = Ta(\eta)$, instead of the standard one T [10]. In this case the red shift of the spectral lines of atoms on cosmic objects

$$\frac{E_{\text{emission}}}{E_0} = \frac{m_{\text{atom}}(\eta_0 - r)}{m_{\text{atom}}(\eta_0)} \equiv \frac{\varphi(\eta_0 - r)}{\varphi_0} = a(\eta_0 - r) = \frac{1}{1+z}$$

is explained by the running masses $m = a(\eta)m_0$ in action (58).

The conformal observable distance r loses the factor a, in comparison with the nonconformal one $R = ar$. Therefore, the reduced interval (59) describing the redshift – coordinate-distance relation [10] corresponds to a different equation of state than in the case of the standard Λ- Dark Matter cosmology. the Supernova data are consistent with the dominance of the stiff state, $\Omega_{\text{Stiff}} \simeq 0.85 \pm 0.15$, $\Omega_{\text{Matter}} = 0.15 \pm 0.10$ [10, 11], where we have the square root dependence of the scale factor on conformal time $a(\eta) = \sqrt{1 + 2H_0(\eta - \eta_0)}$. Just this time dependence of the scale factor on the measurable time (here – conformal one) is used for description of the primordial nucleosynthesis [11, 20].

This stiff state is formed by a free scalar field when $E_\varphi = 2V_0\sqrt{\rho_0} = Q/\varphi$. Just in this case there is an exact solution of Bogoliubov's equations (38)

3.6 The Quantum Universe

In the low-energy approximation GR is split onto the cosmological model considered in Section 2, and quantum field theory (58) far from large masses [17]. The wave function is a sum of products of the wave function of the homogeneous Universe and the wave function of the matter including gravitons settled in the space-time described by (59) with $\widetilde{\psi} = 1, N_{\text{int}} = 1$. The wave function of the matter fields with running masses is obtained by the Bogoliubov transformations (see in details [6, 21]).

4 Conclusion

We gave here a set of theoretical and observational arguments in favor of that General Relativity has a consistent interpretation in the form of quantum theory of the type of the microscopic theory of superfluidity [8, 7, 5] with the Bogoliubov transformations used for construction of integrals of motion and stable physical states.

Our hopes for an opportunity to construct a realistic quantum theory for GR were based, on the one hand, on the existence of the Hilbert-type geometric formulation [2] of Special Relativity (SR) with the energy constraint considered as the simplest model of GR and, on the other hand, on the contemporary quantum field theory (QFT) based on the primary and secondary quantization of this energy constraint [4].

We reconstructed the direct pathway from geometry of GR [2] to the causal operator quantization of universes and to their quantum creation from vacuum considered as a state with the minimal "energy". This formulation included the Wheeler – DeWitt definition of "field space of events" [3], where diffeomorphisms were split from transformations of the frames of references using the Fock simplex of reference [14]; the choice of the Dirac specific frame of reference [15]; resolving the energy constraint in the class of functions of the gauge transformations established by Zel'manov [16]; the calculation of values of the geometric action and interval onto the resolutions of the energy constraint [17] in order to get the dynamic "reduced" action in terms of difeo-invariant variables and to define the notions "energy", "time", "particle" and "universe", "number" of "particles" and "universes" by the low-energy expansion of this "reduced" action.

The pathway of SR towards QFT [4] could be repeated for GR, as the dimension of the diffeomorphism group of Dirac – ADM Hamiltonian approach to GR coincided with the dimension of constraints removing a part of canonical momenta in accordance with the second Nöther theorem. This coincidence takes place, if the cosmological evolution is considered as a collective dynamics [17]. In this case, the preservation of the number of variables in GR leads to the Landau-type friction free cosmic motion [7] with the London-type unique wave function [8] and Bogoliubov-type transformations [5, 6]. The latter is used in order to obtain a set of integrals of motions and to calculate the distribution functions of cosmological creation of both "universes" and "particles" from "vacuum". All these "superfluid attributes" were accompanied by a set of physical consequences that could be understood as only pure quantum effects. In particular, this quantum dynamics of GR gave us possible solutions of the topical astrophysical problems, including horizon, homogeneity, cosmological singularity, arrow of time, CMB fluctuations [17].

The Hamiltonian approach revealed the double counting of the cosmological scale factor in the standard Lifshitz perturbation theory. It means that this standard perturbation theory does not coincide with the Einstein theory [17]. Avoiding this double counting, in order to return back to GR, we have obtained new Hamiltonian equations. These equations do not contain the time derivatives that are responsible for the "primordial power spectrum" in the inflationary model [19]. However, Dirac's Hamiltonian approach to GR gave us another possibility to explain this "spectrum" and other problems of cosmology by the cosmological creation of the primordial W-, Z- bosons from vacuum when their Compton length coincides with the universe horizon [21].

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to B.M. Barbashov, A.V. Efremov, E.A. Kuraev, N.M. Plakida, V.B. Priezzhev, and A.F. Zakharov for interesting and critical discussions.

References

[1] Einstein, A.: Die Gründlange der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Ann. d. Phys.* **49** (1916) 769–826.

[2] Hilbert, D.: Die Grundlangen der Physik, *Nachrichten von der Kön. Ges. der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl.*, Heft **3** (1915) 395–407.

[3] Wheeler, J. A. "Superspace and the nature of quantum geometrodynamics", in *Batelle Recontres: 1967 Lectures in Mathematics and Physics,* edited by C. DeWitt and J.A. Wheeler, New York, 1968, 242-307; DeWitt, B. C. "Quantum Theory of Gravity. I. The Canonical Theory", *Phys. Rev.* **160** (1967) 1113–1148.

[4] Bogoliubov, N. N., Logunov, A. A., Oksak, A. I., Todorov, I. T., "Obshie Prinzipi Kvantovoj Teorii Polja", 1st edn., Nauka, Moscow, 1987.

[5] Bogoliubov, N. N.: On the Theory of Superfluidity, *J. Phys.* (USSR) **11** (1947) 23–32.

[6] Pervushin, V. N. and Smirichinski, V. I., “Bogoliubov quasipaticles in constrained systems” *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **32** (1999) 6191–6201.

[7] Landau, L. D.: Theory of Superfluid Helium II, *ZhETF* **11** (1941) 592–611 (in Russian); *J. Phys. USSR* **5** (1941) 71–90; Theory of the Superfluidity of Helium II, *PR* **60** (1941) 356–358.

[8] London, F.: The λ-Phenomenon of Liquid Helium and the Bose-Einstein Degeneracy. *Nature* **141** (1938) 643–644.

[9] Pawlowski, M. and Pervushin, V. N.: Reparametrization-Invariant Path Integral in GR and "Big Bang" of Quantum Universe, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 16** (2001) 1715–1742; [hep-th/0006116].

[10] Behnke, D., Blaschke, D. B., Pervushin, V. N. and Proskurin, D. V.: Description of supernova data in conformal cosmology without cosmological constant, *Phys. Lett.* **B 530** (2002) 20–26; [gr-qc/0102039].

[11] Behnke, D. (2004) Conformal Cosmology Approach to the Problem of Dark Energy, PhD Thesis, Rostock Report MPG-VT-UR 248/04.

[12] Pervushin, V. N.: On the Vacuum in the Gauge Theories, *Riv. del Nuovo Cimento* **8** N 10 (1985) 1–59 .

[13] Ilieva, N. and Pervushin, V. N.: Gauge-Field Topology in Two-Dimensions: θ-Vacuum, Topological Phase, and Composite Fields, *Int. Journ. Mod. Phys.* **6** (1991) 4687–4697.

[14] Fock, V. A. “Geometrisierung der Diracschen Theorie des Electrons”, *Zs. Phys.* **57** (1929) 261–277.

[15] Dirac, P. A. M. “Generalized Hamiltonian Dynamics”, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A 246** (1958) 326–332.

[16] Zelmanov, A. L. “Kinemetric invariants and their relation to chronometric ones in Einstein’s gravitation theory”, *Dokl. AN USSR* **209** (1973) 822–825.

[17] Barbashov, B.M., Pervushin, V.N., Zakharov, A.F., and Zinchuk, V.A., “Hamiltonian Cosmological Perturbation Theory”, *Phys. Lett.* in press (2006); [hep-th/0501242].

[18] York, J. W. “Gravitational Degrees of Freedom and the Initial-Value Problem”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1971) 1656–1658.

[19] Mukhanov, V. F., Feldman H. A. and Brandenberger, R. H. Theory of cosmological perturbations, *Phys. Rep.* **215** (1992) 203–333.

[20] Weinberg, S. “First Three Minutes. A modern View of the Origin of the universe”, Basic Books, Inc., Publishers, New-York, 1977.

[21] Blaschke, D. B. et al.“Cosmological Production of Vector Bosons and Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation”, *Physics of Atomic Nuclei* **67** (2004) 1050–1062; [hep-ph/0504225].